

INTRODUCTION TO AUTOSTOW(#) FUNCTION IN DPW TERMINALS AS PART OF STANDARDISATION AND OPTIMISATION OF VESSEL AND TERMINAL OPERATIONS

Mihailo Cvetojević*

Vessel Operations Group, DP World ANZ Region - Sydney, Mihailo.Cvetojevic@dpworld.com

Abstract: The paper summarises DP World's experience in optimisation of container terminal waterside operations using NAVIS Vessel AutoStow function. AutoStow function, as demonstrated by DP World in Australia, provides more efficient ship planning and container terminal operation; standard level of service with reduced cost. The ship planning function in DP World in Australia is based on implementation of vessel working and terminal yard strategies to defined plans, where overall terminal strategy might be compromised because of manual input and control. Introduction of automated ship planning function allows DP World Australia's ship planning team to optimise customer and terminal needs ensuring most effective terminal operations with minimum cost.

Keywords: optimisation, container terminal, ship planning.

* Corresponding author

1. INTRODUCTION

Container ship travel on "round-robin" routes where at each port of destination (POD) containers may be unloaded and additional containers destined for subsequent ports may be loaded. Determining a viable arrangement of containers that facilitates this process, in cost effective way, makes up the container stowage problem [1]. Stowage planning is the core of ship planning [2]. Stowage plan defines positions for all containers on boars of a ship, accordingly to the orders of ports in a ship rotation. Usually stowage planning does not act with specific containers. Constraints to be satisfied mainly result from the stability of the ship [2].

In former times, stowage plans were created by the captain of the ship, but nowadays the creation of stowage plans is a two-step process. Firstly, a rough stowage plan is created by the shipping line that considers stowage positions on the vessel. Secondly, based on the rough stowage plan of the shipping line, the ship planners of the container terminal create a more precise stowage plan with specific containers [3].

Stowage planning in real terminal operation is either a manual or optimization process based on software application. Because the stowage plan is generated before the beginning of ship loading, optimization concept is offline. Stowage optimization concept has been implemented in numerous software applications. Some of examples are *SimpleStow* flagship program designed specifically for stowage planning of container vessels and container data processing, developed by AMT Marine software Inc. [4]; Autoship Systems Corporation Stowage Planning Systems which includes hydrostatics analysis engine – *Autoload* [5]; Navis VESSEL AUTOSTOW application module that automatically generates stow plans for the entire ship or by specific bay based on rules set by the planner combining vessel stowage factors with yard constraints and operational parameters [6].

The current ship planning function in DP World Australia (DPW) container terminals was based on implementation of vessel working and yards strategies to defined plans, where overall terminal strategy might be compromised because of manual input and control. Ship planning relay on individual skills and planner's ability to optimise customer and terminals needs ensuring service is delivered in most effective way with minimum cost to terminal. The current planning process is tentative and doesn't allow terminal management to assess several plans (options) based on different strategies in short period of time. Furthermore the current planning process is relatively slow to respond to unplanned disruptions in vessel operations.

Therefore, ship planning needs to move towards dynamic planning in order to ensure optimum results

for dynamic terminal operations and that terminal strategies are followed at all times.

As a solution, DP World National Planning Centre (NPC) is implementing AutoStow in DPW terminals as part of optimisation of vessel operations. Hence, introducing the planning process based on the AutoStow software application module is the main objective of this paper.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents AutoStow application module, while section 3 describes planning process based on this software tool. Some concluding remarks are given in section 4.

2. AUTOSTOW APPLICATION MODULE FOR STOWAGE PLANNING

AutoStow is an advanced application module that plans ships to distribute work among terminal Automated Stacking Cranes / RTG / straddles in operations including vessel, rail, and yard points of work.

Preparation for AutoStow function required full assessment of:

- Vessels
- Cargo Configuration
- AutoStow Factor Filters
- Operational Strategies
- Yard Allocations

Our main goal with AutoStow function is to minimize the crane delay and to select the optimum container from yard that matches projection with optimum utilisation of yard equipment and terminal resources.

2.1 Vessels

Vessel profile files have been updated with necessary data in relation to Stack Weights and Stack Heights (Figure 1.). The biggest challenge was to determinate permissible stack weights based on vessel dynamic stability. In consultation with vessel operators standard vessel sailing condition on departure each port were assessed and standard (predefined) GM¹ was established (Figure 2.). That allowed us to determine one sailing condition on departure and set permissible stack weight for that condition only.



Figure 1. Vessel Profile File: Stack Heights



Figure 2. ANL Windarra: Permissible Stack Weights for 20' Containers for GM <1.6m

2.2 Cargo Configuration

Cargo configuration is very important factor for ship and yard planning as vessel slots and stows are allocated based on cargo configuration. In our analysis we were looking for the model ship, core cargo, trends and season oscillations by Trade (Service). Extra heavy containers are dominant out of Australia and keeping vessels' stack weights within limits is planners' biggest challenge. Vessels ex Australia usually hits their DWT allocation before TEU allocation. In some trades more than 75% of 20' are heavier than 24t, which is max weight per 20' slot on most ships (see Figure 3.). Yard allocations were adjusted to allow optimum container selectivity in cases where majority of 20' are heavier than vessel slot: extra heavy containers (>24t) are grouped (one allocation) and light containers (<15t) were spread in several weight groups (allocations). This allows better access to light containers to match permissible stack weight (refer to Figure 2.).

¹ GM denotes "metacentric height of a vessel". For a vessel to be stable the numerical value of GM must be positive. The available GM must always be larger than the required GMs. The requirements vary considerably for different types and sizes of vessels.



Figure 3. Weight Distribution – 20' Dry AAX Service ex SYD

2.3 AutoStow factor Filter

AutoStow filters have been created based on vessel operator's stow requirements. The Stow factor must be in synchronisation with the Yard Strategy and Expert Decking which then ensure that AutoStow will select containers correctly. Projections are created in accordance to the AutoStow factors Filters defined as per below Figure 4.





2.4 Operational Strategies

An AutoStow strategy is made up of a standard set of AutoStow parameters which are actually numerical decision factors that are accumulated and weighted against each other to determine the best stowage outcome for a container. This way, the system assigns the lowest scoring matching container to a vessel slot.

Terminal operational strategies have been defined as well as triggering points where the terminal will switch on to new strategy (eg: resources available, cargo configuration, volume, etc).

Auto Stow strategies are split into three parts (Figure 5.):

- Configuration Settings related to the terminal Equipment and operational philosophy and operational needs
- Options Settings related to container conditions that might be included or allowed

in the AutoStow calculation process, it determinates how system shall handle the optional container conditions

• Penalties – settings (penalties) that allows calculation and evaluation of the best candidate. With penalties user controls the behavior of AutoStow by weighting the variables with penalty points

- man	Cheley 1	-		
Teer	CHIEF	Palament	Steel .	Desenter
C\$0	CPO#TL	tartal favilita		total number of familifus.
C#11	CROWLE	may wit inversion above deck		Marinan additional weight in tanner of wext container above, applied to above-dech bays.
C#13	CF9962	was wit inversion below deck		Maximum additional weight in tammer of each container above, applied to below-dech bays.
C#9	CHIRDS.	man #70st block	3	Ravinan number of 8700 in a black.
<#5	CF98013	arie, 87% distance	3	Rivings distance in 22' shots this need to be apart shen working in the same block.
<#9	CHORIDA	KTS gartry aread	30088	velocity Gesteruchauth of the thic while moving within its late (party speed).
CFG	014840	KTG Tarm sharpe	2018	Time Decordal required for an ETO to sharpe Tares.
CFG	CPOFLA	max 870s in a lane		Ravinan maker of 870s in a laws, which is a set of aligned blocks.
CFG	CHORE	RTG Retail/Gross	248	Time Insuredul the UTS requires to perform a more Orlani-truch.truch-stank).
CFG	0109.37	RTG starlag	20	Time frequential to start/stap moving within late.
CPU	CPORTL.	Social ATEs		Total runker of title.
CPD	ChORES.	star rehardles		Grade rehardle such textenations - 0: no, 1: only accessible containers, 2: all rehardles
CPD	CPGERL.	smarshs aligorithm	3	In reaction (has the assaring) algorithe, it iteration (have time assaring) algorithe, it only aplitude to staring write
<85	CNG8CT	run slash time	26218	Timetrame for row slash penalty to apply,
CFG	CNUEDP	smarsh: dep th	1	Infution smarsh death, Larger raises should pield better results but take larger to calculate.
CFG	CNUMB	smarsh width		Infuttor march with, Larger ralass should pield better results but tale larger to calculate.
CRU	ONTRO	sarits - her imp		Dealls Astallees Detering part-termedits sectorized sells]
CFG	CHONTS	taris of difference limit		Weight difference Texts in terms batemen toir-Tiffed certainers.
CFG	CROWTL	weight tollarway		Talayayas katara sianatahasi yanghti panality applicat.
CFE	CAUER	arror laval	1200	lagert as arter if one of the paralities for this container assessed this value.
OPT .	IP1+3	high make		Optimize high rule planeart.
071	OFTest.	this representation		Trust 200 d.1 as marchanach.
011	01147	such hack alternal & to yours.	×.	Fach stonaged and termine containers toward the and of load list.
021	OPTION:	stor stored		Day stagged containers.
077	OPTOTIC	other toronte		Day to your only in your
021	OPTYRE.	remove blocking	Y.	famous Marking Amus Pirst.
077	OPTITAL.	Floor pathern	3	fard flow matters for study-mine, in the rates, in sum off, in class present class (structure ed.).
P-6%	POKIN	Crune over seight		family per torus of cruss our midth arms.
P-6%	POKIS	requestion rate block	-6.000	family for cloudy separated more from the rate block (one reportion value for block semaring).
144	PRETT	Consecution care block three		familie for comparison means from the same time of blacks.
1.6%	PONTLA	flux vial attas	58	handles for stillation the desired flow extern as sell as other menal and flow eractions.
195	P04(3	(if delay factor	2008	forthe and for HS cannot data come delta antilita (in carrant, 100 adduction in delta) in ().
245	PREG	rue clash	1.00	familty for charging fills while anyting to a period within the frame (ii) conserving family for case/all want periods within
1.6%	PROFILE	raw changes	2998	handly, for charging partice while working within a file (V) while a real partice while working a file).
1.6%	POMP14	still too close	1.000	family for the big motion to close in a black (as (1981).
110	POM IT	this eastry factor	1.0	forther used for this sector man again to the second, who mainly intra sector that by the
110	POINT N	strack change.		then its for faction and ticls that for one stark.
1111	PONTAS	of in hearth state	1.0	therally for arrangements risk change of a click hards, havenal if winit is not on a click hards.
	and the second second	smark sariaht with .		manily our toos of instantical much anisher ciderine.
110	POPPET	Bod-Informal yourd		munity for not adapting a new that is taimed in the card.
110	10748	mas block twin-carry	1000	munity for appartial more that cannot be twin-cherical (huth') fore the case block.
1.85		and an external marginet	1998	Bandles and being of addressional address areas.
100	P.D.Par	Taries section	ACCESS OF A	manife, for selection unsel take some for different services.
1.11	DIRATT	at insection		Barally and company of addit insertion.
- 41		much decide		marily and this which is an universal and defauld of

Figure 5. AutoStow Strategy – RTG Terminal Sydney

In RTG Terminals strategies are to be created by Cranage and resources available, for straddle and ASC terminals CONFIG Settings are simple and they have only one strategy.

Penalty values balance inevitable trade offs between competing yard and vessel efficiencies, and customize strategies that reflect operational objectives. By setting parameter values, we configure the strategy the system uses to avoid problems such as rehandles, weight inversions, and CHE clashes in the yard. The best strategy depends largely on the type of CHE, but also on particular terminal's practices.

AutoStow chooses the best container to load by evaluating each candidate. The control of the behavior of AutoStow is by weighting the variables with penalty points. The higher the penalty, the less chance that action will occur.

AutoStow assign container to particular Point Of Work (POW) based on set parameters that take into consideration in real time:

- Estimated time of move
- Crane productivity / rate
- ASC / RTG productivity
- Current position of ASC / RTG
- Number of rehandles (defined by flow pattern)
- Permissible stack weight
- Multiple point load in twin lift option
- Late receivals etc

The projections for all POWs will be sorted based on move time, so they will be intermingled, and AutoStow will plan them in that order.

2.5 Yard Allocations

In principle terminal operations planning processes have 4 major tasks: which are: to deliver container at right place at right time, in right order and in right condition in most efficient and profitable manner.

The main challenge of vessel and yard planning is to synchronise needs and optimise two processes: landside and waterside, where yard is a buffer zone between those two processes.

Containers received in terminal at landside side are to be positioned in the yard in slots and in quantities that will ensure efficient vessel operations; the operations that will start up to 5 days after container is received.

Containers are segregated based on the following criteria:

- Length
- Type (general, reefer, empty, hazardous etc)
- Vessel / Visit
- Port of Discharge
- Special stows (commodity) eg. Wine, hides etc
- Height (Standard, High Cube)
- Weight classes etc

Yard allocation filters were assessed by Service / Trade, cargo configuration, volume and special requirements and Stacking and Section Factors as well as Expert Decking Settings were adjusted accordingly (Figure 6.)

PBT					ALLOCA	TION FILTERS				
Service	Lenght (20', 40' 45')	POD	ISO Specials (Tanks, 2P Wide, OT, EB)	Height (8', ST, HC)	Category (Dry, Empty, Reefer})	HAZD Class	005	Special Commodity: Wine, Hides,	Weight Class	Special Stow (UDECK, DECK))
AAX	Y	Y	2PW	Y	Y				Y	
ANP	Y	Y			Y					
Swire	Y	Y	F/R		Y					
VSA - PNW	Y	¥			Y					
VSA - PSW	Y	Y		Y	Y					
AAS	Y	Y			Y				Y (KSH, HKG, BNE)	
ASAL	Y	Y		Y	Y				Y (SIN, PKG)	
AANA	Y	Y		Y	Y				Y	
Oceania/Trident	Y	Y		Y	Y					
CKA	Y	Y		Y	Y				Y	
ACE	Y	Y		Y	Y				Y	
EAX	Y	Y			Y				SIN, PKG	



Allecation Filters								
Ames * Diploy *					0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000			
C [ibertane]	BO CODE	60		(inc)	Cell Carrier	Special Security	LB Carlor	
15E8 20 E19	28, 148, 17167, 1298, 17797, 122T8, 17777	£.7	x		x	*	IRADU, 13N, TRUCK	NO1, 1258, 1PE
35EN 20 EXP MED PDV	28, 148, 11167, 1298, 17797, 12278, 2261	E.T	×		*	*	8	1V5H, 1D5H, 1PE_
16EN 20 DUP LIT PON	2K, 14K, 17767, 129K, 17797, 122TK, 22GL	£.7	×		x	*	ĸ	NO1, 1058, 1PE
15EN 20 EVP LIGHT	28, 148, 17167, 1298, 17797, 122TN, 22GL	E.T	8		x	*	x	NO1, 1058, 1PE
1588 20 EXP HVY PDV	28, 148, 11767, 1298, 17797, 12278, 2261	E.T	×		*	*	x	INDH, 1058, 1PE
16EN 40 D/P LIGHT	48, 128, 1498, 17797, 17767, 14008	E.T	x		8	x	ĸ	INSN, IPEL, INC.
15E8 40' GP	48, 128, 1498, 177P2, 1726714508	6.T	x		*	HGRS	×	NEH, NICH, IDC
15E5 40'E1P/TS	48, 128, 1498, 17797, 17767, 14608	E.T	ж		x	*	ж	1484, 1458, 105
10ES RAIL +20T	28, 148, 17767, 1298, 17797, 12278, 2251	E.T	8		×	14CS	к	NO1, 1058, 1PE
15ES RAIL -20T	28, 148, 17167, 1298, 17797, 122T8, 22GL	E.T	8		8	LACS	x	NO1, 1058, 1PE
20 MT	148, 2777, 12767	E.T			*	1581	×	*
20 MT ASR	148, 2777, 12477, 12577, 12167, 12077, 12177	E.T	x		x	382	×	8
20 MT HC	148,2777,12767	£.T	x		x	ISRI	x	8
201 2 PMIDE	2651,2009	E.T.			*	*	x	*
20' FULL TARKS	2777.2717		*			*	×	*
20' GEN HKZ	28, 148, 17777, 12778	E.T	8			*	8	162
201 MT HIG	28, 12477, 12577, 12767	6.7				*		#7
30" MT TARKS	2777.2727	×	×		×	×	×	*
20' REP INT	2211, 2282, 148	×	×			*	*	
20° 18 DUT	28	I	580			*	x	
40' 2 PMIDE	4553	E.T.					x .	1887, 1988, 195
40" GEN HKZ	48, 128, 12272	F			8	*	8	162
40.1 MT GR	48, 14257, 128, 14577	6.7				ISRT		#7
40." MT CP 450	48.14767.128	F.7	×		*	187	TERCO	87
4D" HT HC	48, 14767, 128	E.T.	*			1597	*	87
40" MT HC ASR	d8. 14767, 128	6.7	*			SRE	*	#7
40' BEFFE EXPORT	48.178	E				*	*	*
AD' DOD THT	4213 4282 126		*			*	*	*
AD' NOR INT NOT	4223, 4282, 128		60.Y					
40.º SE DET	48	т	100			×	×	x
45.	98	£					x.	÷
45' EXPORT	98	6					*	#7
45 ' IMPORT	18	T				x	x	
ACE 20' MT	28, 12257, 12737	F					*	#T
ALE 40' WT GR	49, 142522, 129							
ACT 40' BT 16'	48, 14767, 128, 14895	D					*	
ACE SED 20FT	28, 148, 17777, 7797, 17767, 12278	I	ACE		5	LACS	s	5
ACE 1980 #0FT	48, 128, 12222, 12282, 12262, 12278	T	ACE			LaCS.	*	
THE JOET NO HOUDS	28. 148. 17777, 17787, 12278	-	10.0		TRICK	Lac's	x	1847
THE 20ET HOLDS	28. 148. 17177. 17197. 122TK	Ť	DEE		8	LINCS	×	1847
FRE JOL NO. WHITE	AN 128 12223 12282 12278		DLP.		ITEN'S	1805		1847
THE OWNER ADDRESS	AN ANY ADDRESS AND ADDRESS					1077	2	

Figure 7. L PBT Allocation Filters

3. PLANNING PROCESS USING AUTOSTOW

AutoStow plans the ship, move-by-move, based on the estimated move time (Figure 7.), which is determined by the order assigned to the work queues (Figure 9.), and at the rate assigned for crane productivity at each Point Of Work; in other words it uses the crane work order set in Quay Commander and the productivity rates set for the quay cranes in the crane shifts to determine the time an ASC / RTG has to complete moves.

Planners first have to ensure that Vessel Call Details are set: (vessel Estimated Time of Arrival, Start Work Time, Estimated Time of Departure, and Strategy.



Figure 8a. Work Queue Indicating Move Time

5					1								/				
4	1 WQ 10A	Load (POW	-C4): 18														\mathbf{X}
2	Actions 🔻 🕻	isplay 🔻	Add Append Recard	Rewind Play													8
έĐ	Sequence	Move Time 1	Sto. Container No.	Cavera Position	To	Dispatch State	Planner.	14	CM	Dfv Made	dr Te	nø C		190	Ht Tis	Disch	
	1	+SA2024	HLX06728783	SE026L2	100482	(not evaluated)	1obog		+		C -2	.0°C		45R1	26.7	PHL	1
	2	+SA2026	GE5U9112942	5E025L3	100484	(not evaluated)	lobog				1 -2	.0°C		45R1	19.0	PHL	
	3	+542029	SUDU6274266	5E026N2	100682	(not evaluated)	lobog				r -2	210		45R1	24.0	PHL	
	4	+5A2032	SUDU5165105	5E026N1	100684	(not evaluated)	lobog				-20	orc.	5	4581	22.7	PHL	
	5	+SA2035	MSKU8426826	5£091Q2	100486	(not evaluated)	lobog						۲	45G1	4.5	TRG	
•	6	+SA2038	MSKU8918636	5E091Q1	100686	(not evaluated)	1obog		+				۲	4561	4.5	TRG	
	7	+SA2041	TCLU8066168	4E134L4	100882	(not evaluated)	1obog		+				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
	8	+SA2043	GATU8360229	4E134L3	101082	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
	9	+5A2046	MLX06199294	4E134L2	100884	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	10	+5A2049	HLX006237162	4E134L1	101084	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	11	+SA2052	TRLU7039280	4E135L4	101284	(not evaluated)	lobog		•				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	12	+SA2055	TGHU7989895	4E135L3	100886	(not evaluated)	lobog		+				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	13	+SA2058	GATU8061104	4E135L2	101086	(not evaluated)	1obog		+				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
	14	+SA2101	FSCU6827042	4E135L1	101286	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
	15	+5A2103	HLX06275157	4E136L4	100488	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	16	+5A2106	HD006386823	4E136L3	100688	(not evaluated)	lobog						SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	
•	17	+SA2109	HLX06118224	4E136L2	100888	(not evaluated)	lobog		•				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	1
	18	+SA2112	GATU8323040	4E136L1	101088	(not evaluated)	1obog		+				SRI	4510	4.5	NPE	1
_													_		_	_	_

Figure 8b. Work Queue Indicating Move Time

Projection shell be synchronised with load list; Quay Commander Set (Figure 9.). Desired Predefined working patterns (PWP) are set.

Resources and operational requirements are determinate and AutoStow strategy is set accordingly.



Figure 9. Quay Commander

After running the AutoStow load plan and results are analysed (Figure 10.) and minor adjustments are to be made if planner is not fully satisfied. For example planner have to check yard clashes by Move Hour versus Points of Work (Figure 11.)

Progress:		
Item	Events	Penalty
ard shifts	37	3700
low pattern violations	351	1732
fis-matched weights	0	0
itack weight violations	0	0
TG gantry moves	0	0
TG gantry moves causing quay crane delay	0	0
tow clashes	0	0
Changing (SC: adding) yard section within POW	157	157
tTGs too close	0	0
Innecessary slip berth side changes	0	0
/essel Restrictions	0	0
wins from different Sections	0	0
Closely sequential moves from same block	0	0
equential moves not twin-carried (2x20') from same block	0	0
rane weight limit violations	0	0
fove is not twin lifted in the yard	0	0
hanging POW within yard stack	37	37
nan to-come and stopped container penalties	0	0
Jonsecutive moves from same type of blocks	0	
statie incontrations	U	0

Figure 10. AutoStow Stowage Evaluation



Figure 11. Yard Impact Row and Move Hour by Point of Work

A feedback and report of any anomalities is important for further adjustments of yard allocations (Figure 12.), penalties, weight groups etc. Operational team is regularly assessing: AutoStow algorithm used and parameters related to Weight management, Container Handling Equipment (CHE) deployment and Yard Flow management for fine tuning of related penalties and settings.

AutoStow require correct preplan and projections. Time spent on preplan will increase where time on sequencing will be reduced. AutoStow will increase consistency and accuracy of our product by focusing on projections rather than actual container and by eliminating simple planning errors, it will also allow planner to promptly respond on any replanning requirements.



Figure 12. PBT Yard Allocation

AutoStow require more time to be spent on preplan but actual time on sequencing containers from yard will be reduced (Figure 13.). Overall planners will have more time available for checking and ensuring optimum terminal operations are met at all times. On long term actual savings are coming from reduced time required for checking as AutoStow strategies are developed and finetuned; and prompt respond on any replanning requirements during vessel operations. AutoStow will also increase consistency and accuracy of our product by focusing on projections rather than actual container and by eliminating simple planning errors.



Figure 13. Time required for Manual v Auto Stow ship planning

4. CONCLUSION

Ship planning function, as part of terminal operations, is based on implementation of vessel working and yard strategies to defined plans, where overall terminal strategy might be compromised because of manual input and control. The ship planning relay on individual planner's skills; it is tentative process and it doesn't allow assessment of several plans (options) in short period of time. This process is relatively slow to respond to dynamic terminal operations and unplanned disruptions of vessel operations. Automatisation of ship planning process using NAVIS Vessel AutoStow allows dynamic planning that ensures optimum results for dynamic terminal operations. It also ensures that terminal strategies are followed at all times.

Successful implementation of AutoStow function require full assessment of vessels and cargo configurations (external factors) and terminal operational strategies (internal factors) which must be clearly defined.

Automated ship planning using AutoStow increases consistency and accuracy of ship planning product, standardisation of waterside terminal operations and it increases planner's ability to quickly respond on any changes and any replanning requirements.

Following implementation of AutoStow in DP World Melbourne terminal overall ship planning time has been reduced by 1 to 1.5 hrs for the vessels with exchanges of up to 2000 containers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wilson, I.D., Roach, P.A. and Ware, J.A., 2001. Container stowage pre-planning: using search to generate solutions, a case study, Knowledge-based systems, 14, 137-145
- [2] Steenken, D., Voß, S. and Stahlbock, R., 2004. *Container terminal operation and operations research* - a classification and literature review, OR Spectrum 26, 3–49
- [3] Kemme, N., 2013. Design and Operation of Automated Container Storage Systems, Chapter 2: Container-Terminal Logistics, Springer Verlag
- [4] http://www.amtmarine.ca, last accessed July 2013
- [5] http://cargomanagement.autoship.com, last accessed July 2013
- [6] http://www.dspservices.ch, last accessed July 2013